

ATTACHMENT B

ADVICE AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE DRAWN FROM ITS MEETING ON

14th SEPTEMBER 2010

PRESENTED TO THE ALEXANDRA PALACE AND PARK BOARD MEETING ON 14th OCTOBER 2010

COMPLETED WITH THE BOARD'S ACCEPTANCE/REJECTION AND REASONS WHY AS APPROPRIATE

	Advice and Recommendations	Comments
1.	<p>Agenda Item 4 – Minutes</p> <p>i) <u>Consultative Committee minutes - 22nd June 2010</u></p> <p>RESOLVED that the minutes be agreed as a correct record.</p> <p>ii) <u>Draft Advisory Committee minutes - 8th June 2010</u></p> <p>RESOLVED that the minutes be noted.</p> <p>iii) <u>Draft Alexandra Palace and Park Board - 7th June and 29th June 2010</u></p> <p><u>29th June Board minutes:</u> Jacob O'Callaghan (Hornsey Historical Society) expressed disappointment that the terms of reference of the Master Planning Working Group mentioned in APB27 (resolution v.) had not been subject to any consultation with the Committee.</p> <p>RESOLVED that the minutes be noted.</p>	Noted by the Board
2.	<p>Agenda Item 7 – Planning Proposal by Capital Gardens Ltd</p> <p>Stuart Hopkins declared a personal and prejudicial interest in this item as he was the Capital Garden's Ltd leaseholder and a member of the Consultative Committee. He remained to answer the Committee's questions and left the room during deliberations.</p>	Noted by the Board

	<p>The Committee received the report (Attachment 1) on proposals for developments to the Garden Centre Ltd including converting old sheds into a retail area and constructing a pergola to shelter bedding plants. It was noted that previous plans to remove the existing Alder tree would no longer go ahead and the tree would remain.</p> <p>The Committee expressed concerns that the development did not grow in boundary and height at later stages of the planning application and that the information presented was vague.</p> <p>The Chair suggested that members of the Consultative Committee email further comments on the proposals directly to the Park Manager and the General Manager reminded members that comments could also be made during the public consultation which takes place as part of the planning application process.</p> <p>RESOLVED</p> <p>i. That the report be noted and the proposals supported in principle.</p> <p>ii. That the Committee’s concerns about the height, materials used, colour and character of the development be considered when the final application was submitted for planning permission.</p> <p>iii. That the Alexandra Palace and Park Board be asked to note the comments of the Consultative Committee (above).</p>	
3	<p>Agenda Item 8 – Local Nature Reserve Proposal</p> <p>The Committee received the report (Attachment 2) on the proposals for Alexandra Park to be declared as a Local Nature Reserve (LNR). Committee members raised concerns as to whether LNR status would affect events held in the Park and noted that it was not necessary to declare the entire Park as a LNR.</p> <p>In response to its questions about the implications of the proposals the Committee noted that the Park already met the criteria for LNR status which required demonstrating a commitment about how the Park will be managed and conserved (contained in the Park’s management plan).</p>	Noted by the Board

	<p>Benefits of the proposals would be access to additional funding from national bodies.</p> <p>Some Committee members stated that LNR status may make the Park a more attractive visitor attraction and many activities in the Park already support the potential LNR status.</p> <p>RESOLVED</p> <p>i. That the proposals to designate sections of Alexandra Park as a Local Nature Reserve (LNR) be supported.</p> <p>ii. That the Alexandra Palace and Park Board be asked to note the comments of the Consultative Committee particularly in relation to inappropriate designation of LNR status for the entire park, which could affect events being held in the Park.</p>	
4	<p>Agenda item 9 – Park and Palace Byelaws</p> <p>The Committee received the report (Attachment 3) of the Park Manager recommending that the Alexandra Park and Palace byelaws be reviewed.</p> <p>Two Committee Members suggested that with current important projects taking place within the Park and Palace (such as the governance review) this was not the right time for a review of byelaws. The General Manager highlighted that the process of reviewing the byelaws was not time consuming itself but the process of adopting them (and getting Secretary of State approval) may take some years to complete. The Committee noted issues such as bar-b-qs and filming and photography were prohibited under current byelaws and that the Park and Palace security contractors were tasked with enforcing the byelaws, therefore the review was relevant.</p> <p>Other members of the Committee supported the review of the byelaws and the Chair suggested that further comments by Committee Members be emailed to the Park Manager.</p>	Noted by the Board

	<p>RESOLVED that the report be noted and the Alexandra Palace and Park Board be asked to note the comments of the Consultative Committee (above).</p>	
5	<p>Agenda Item 10 – Update on the provision of a track betting licence for the Ladbrokes World Darts Championships at Alexandra Palace</p> <p>Councillor Scott declared a prejudicial interest in this item as he was a member of Haringey’s Licensing Committee; he left the room during consideration of this item.</p> <p>The Committee received the report (Attachment 4) updating on the intention of Alexandra Palace Trading Limited (APTL) to move the existing track betting licence from the Panorama Room to the Great Hall for the World Darts Championships between 16th December 2010 and 3rd January 2011.</p> <p>In response to Committee members comments officers explained that there were measures in place to deal with any leaks in the Great Hall ceiling if it rained and that the Panorama Room (where the betting activity was usually conducted) also had similar issues when it rained; the Great Hall could not be used for the darts match (rather than the West Hall) as it was not easily blacked-out; beer supplies usually stored in the Panorama Room would be kept in the VIP area under the new proposal.</p> <p>Two Committee Members highlighted the controversial nature of the charity obtaining the original betting licence as well as concerns that part of the Palace would be closed to visitors over the Christmas period. Officers confirmed that a contained area at the bottom of the west corridor would be enforced and the licence used for only three weeks in the year.</p> <p>RESOLVED that the report be noted and the comments of the Consultative Committee (above) be noted by the Alexandra Palace and Park Board.</p>	Noted by the Board

	<i>Clerk's note: Councillor Scott returned to the meeting.</i>	
6	<p>Agenda Item 14 – Matters raised by Interested Groups</p> <p>The Committee received a proposal from local resident, Clive Carter, for the Alexandra Park and Palace Trust Board to apply for UNESCO World Heritage Site recognition. (Attachment 5)</p> <p>The General Manager – Alexandra Palace advised that the application for UNESCO World Heritage would take years of officer dedicated time (assuming the building was in a good state of repair) and could even be refused, which might impact the Palace's reputation. The Managing Director – Alexandra Palace Trading Limited (APTL) stated that whilst the Palace was worthy of such status there was no proof that it would generate additional funding or visitors to the Palace and Park and there might be more efficient ways to bring the Palace worldwide recognition.</p> <p>RESOLVED that Mr Carter be encouraged to build support for the proposal for the Alexandra Park and Palace Trust Board to apply for UNESCO World Heritage Site recognition.</p>	Noted by the Board